UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,582	02/06/2004	Yasushi Yamamoto	70366-012	2340
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
10/772,582 02/06/2004 Yasu 7590 05/16/2007 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY		BROWN, MICHAEL A		
washington, D	C 20003-3090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,582	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Michael Brown	3772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	 s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal ma	· ·	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 16-21 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) Dobjected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	en received in this National Stag	је
application from the International Burea		at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	or received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>All IDS</u>. 	_	f Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hori '503.

Hori discloses in figures 1-18 a massage machine comprising a sensor 5, a means 2, for performing a preliminary massage, means 6 for estimating a position of an area to be massage, means 7 for judging sensation of stiffness, means 7 for judging sensation of stiffness for each point of Shiatsu, based on a valve of sensor detected (from the history) and means 21, for performing a massage operation based on each point of Shiatsu based on sensation of stiffness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3772

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hori '503 in view of Yamasaki '798, along with Yamasaki '598.

Hori discloses in figures 1-18 a massage machine, substantially as claimed. However, Hori doesn't disclose the balls (kneading balls) moving in a circular reciprocating movement. Yamasaki '798 teaches in figure 2 a massaging chair comprising balls 19 that move in a circular movement. Yamasaki '598 teaches in figures 1-10 a massaging chair having balls that move in a reciprocating movement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the movement of the massaging balls as taught by Yamasaki '798 and Yamasaki '598 could be incorporated into the movement of the balls disclosed by Hori in order to provide circular and reciprocating massage movements via massaging balls along the user's body.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hori '704 discloses a massaging machine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown May 7, 2007

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER